


In reply to the Docketing Notice dated May 4, 2007, Applicant requests consideration of its Reply Brief, filed on May 24, 2006. The existing docketing notice does not reflect the filing of this brief, and therefore, Applicants request entry and consideration. Applicants have included herewith 1) a copy of the existing docketing notice, 2) a copy of the timely filed Reply Brief, 3) a copy of the May 24, 2006 Express

Application Serial No. 10/027,467
Client/Matter No. 659-793 (KC 16071)

Mail label 4) a copy of the confirmed return receipt postcard from the USPTO and 5) corresponding United States Postal Service delivery verification documents. Applicants request entry of this brief into the record and consideration of the pending appeal in view of the submitted brief and included remarks.

Respectfully submitted,




Amanda M. Miller
Registration No. 52,469
Attorney for Applicant

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. BOX 10395
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610
(312) 321-4200

659-793

Page 1

**United States Patent and Trademark Office****Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office****P.O. Box 1450****Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450****www.uspto.gov****BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. BOX 10395
CHICAGO, IL 60610****Appeal No: 2007-2293
Application: 10/027,467
Appellant: Michael Alan Schmidt et al****MAILED****MAY 04 2007****U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES****Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Docketing Notice**

Application 10/027,467 was received from the Technology Center at the Board on April 04, 2007 and has been assigned Appeal No: 2007-2293.

A review of the file indicates that the following documents have been filed by appellant:

Appeal Brief filed on: January 05, 2006
Reply Brief filed on: NONE
Request for Hearing filed on: NONE

In all future communications regarding this appeal, please include both the application number and the appeal number.

The mailing address for the Board is:

**BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313-1450**

The facsimile number of the Board is 571-273-0052. Because of the heightened security in the Washington D.C. area, facsimile communications are recommended. Telephone inquiries can be made by calling 571-272-9797 and should be directed to a Program and Resource Administrator.

By order of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Customer Copy
Label 11-F June 2002

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

Post Office To Addressee



EV 314842287 US

ORIGIN (POSTAL USE ONLY)		DELIVERY (POSTAL USE ONLY)	
PO ZIP Code	Day of Delivery	Delivery Attempt	Time
60610	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> First <input type="checkbox"/> Second	Mo. Day	<input type="checkbox"/> AM <input type="checkbox"/> PM
Date In		Delivery Attempt	Time
4 06	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1st <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd	Mo. Day	<input type="checkbox"/> AM <input type="checkbox"/> PM
4 06	Military	Delivery Date	Time
4 06		No. Day	<input type="checkbox"/> AM <input type="checkbox"/> PM
Weight	Int'l Alpha Country Code	Employee Signature	
1.2 oz.		Employee Signature	
No Delivery	Acceptance Clerk Initials	Employee Signature	
<input type="checkbox"/> Insured <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Priority		Employee Signature	
Postage	Return Receipt Fee	Employee Signature	
\$ 14.40		Employee Signature	
QOD Fee	Insurance Fee	Employee Signature	
		Employee Signature	
Total Postage & Fees		Employee Signature	
\$ 14.40		Employee Signature	

Federal Agency Use Only or Postal Service Use Only

TO: (PLEASE PRINT)

MAIL STOP
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1450

FROM: (PLEASE PRINT)

BRINKS MOFFER GILSON & LIONE
PO BOX 10087
CHICAGO IL 60610-0087

659/793 AMM/cb

FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING CALL 1-800-222-1811 WWW.USPS.COM

PRESS HARD.
You are making 3 copies.

USPS - Track & Confirm

<http://trkcnfrm1.smi.usps.com/PTSIInternetWeb/InterLabelInquiry.do>[Home](#) | [Help](#) | [Sign In](#)[Track & Confirm](#)[FAQs](#)

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: **EV31 4842 287U S**

Status:

Your item was delivered at 9:39 am on May 25, 2006 in ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313. The item was signed for by S DYAR.

Additional information for this item is stored in files offline.

[Track & Confirm](#)

Enter Label/Receipt Number.

[Go >](#)[Restore Offline Details >](#)[Return to USPS.com Home >](#)

Notification Options

Proof of Delivery

Verify who signed for your item by email, fax, or mail. [Go >](#)



POSTAL INSPECTORS
Preserving the Trust

[Site map](#)

[contact us](#) [government services](#) [jobs](#) [National & Premier Accounts](#)
Copyright © 1999-2004 USPS. All Rights Reserved. [Terms of Use](#) [Privacy Policy](#)

Miller (Church), Amanda

From: U.S. Postal Service [U.S. Postal Service@usps.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2007 10:33 AM
To: Miller (Church), Amanda
Subject: U.S. Postal Service Track & Confirm email Restoration - EV31 4842 287U S

This is a post-only message. Please do not respond.

Amanda Miller has requested that you receive this restoration information for Track & Confirm as listed below.

Current Track & Confirm e-mail information provided by the U.S. Postal Service.

Label Number: EV31 4842 287U S

Service Type: Express Mail - Post Office to Addressee

Shipment Activity	Location	Date & Time
Delivered	ALEXANDRIA VA 22313	05/25/06 9:39am
Arrival at Unit	DULLES VA 20102	05/25/06 8:23am
Enroute	CHICAGO IL 60610	05/24/06 5:23pm
Acceptance	CHICAGO IL 60610	05/24/06 4:49pm

USPS has not verified the validity of any email addresses submitted via its online Track & Confirm tool.

For more information, or if you have additional questions on Track & Confirm services and features, please visit the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) section of our Track & Confirm site at <http://www.usps.com/shipping/trackandconfirmfaqs.htm>

Applicant: MICHAEL A. SCHMIDT ET AL.
Serial No.: 10/027,467
Client/Matter: 659/793 (KC 16071)
Items Mailed: Transmittal (in dup.); Reply to Examiner's Answer;
and Return Receipt Postcard.
DUE DATE: May 24, 2006
Date of Mailing: May 24, 2006

Serial No. 10/027,467
Applicant: MICHAEL A. SCHMIDT ET AL.
Client/Matter No.: 659/793 (KC 16071)

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Please acknowledge receipt of the below identified:

Items Mailed: Transmittal (in dup.); Reply to Examiner's Answer; and
Return Receipt Postcard.

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
By: Amanda M. Miller, Reg. No. 52,469
Date of Mailing: May 24, 2006



EV 314842287 US

Mailing Label

Label 11-F June 2002

**EXPRESS
MAIL**

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

Post Office To Addressee

ORIGIN (POSTAL USE ONLY)

PO ZIP Code	Day of Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Next <input type="checkbox"/> Second	Flat Rate Envelope <input type="checkbox"/>
Date In Mo. Day Year	<input type="checkbox"/> 12 Noon <input type="checkbox"/> 2 PM	Postage \$
Time In <input type="checkbox"/> AM <input type="checkbox"/> PM	Military <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd Day <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd Day	Return Receipt Fee
Weight lbs. ozs.	Int'l Alpha Country Code	COD Fee Insurance Fee
No Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Weekend <input type="checkbox"/> Holiday	Acceptance Clerk Initials	Total Postage & Fees \$

DELIVERY (POSTAL USE ONLY)

Delivery Attempt Mo. Day	Time <input type="checkbox"/> AM <input type="checkbox"/> PM	Employee Signature
Delivery Attempt Mo. Day	Time <input type="checkbox"/> AM <input type="checkbox"/> PM	Employee Signature
Delivery Date Mo. Day	Time <input type="checkbox"/> AM <input type="checkbox"/> PM	Employee Signature

CUSTOMER USE ONLY**METHOD OF PAYMENT**

Express Mail Corporate Acct. No.

Federal Agency Acct. No. of
Postal Service Acct. No.

FROM: (PLEASE PRINT)

PHONE 312 321 4200

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
PO BOX 10087
CHICAGO IL 60610-0087

659/793 AMM/ds

TO: (PLEASE PRINT)

PHONE

MAIL STOP
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1450

PRESS HARD.

You are making 3 copies.

FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING CALL 1-800-222-1811 www.usps.com



U.S.P.S. EXPRESS MAIL "POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE" SERVICE
DEPOSIT INFORMATIONExpress Mail Label No.: EV314842287USDate of Deposit: May 24, 2006BRINKS
HOFER
GILSON
& LIONE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Appln. of: 'Michael A. Schmidt et al.

Appln. No.: 10/027,467

Filed: December 20, 2001

For: AUTO SHEET THREADING AND
CUTTING DEVICE AND METHOD

Examiner: Ghassem Alie

Art Unit: 3724

Attorney Docket No: 659/793 (KC 16071)

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL

Sir:

Attached is/are:

- ☒ Reply to Examiner's Answer
☒ Return Receipt Postcard.

Fee calculation:


- ☒ No additional fee is required.
☐ Small Entity.
☐ An extension fee in an amount of \$_____ for a _____-month extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).
☐ A petition or processing fee in an amount of \$_____ under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(____).
☐ An additional filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

					Small Entity			Not a Small Entity	
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Add'l Fee	or	Rate	Add'l Fee
Total		Minus			x \$25=			x \$50=	
Indep.		Minus			X100=			x \$200=	
First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim					+\$180=			+ \$360=	
					Total	\$		Total	\$

Fee payment:

- ☐ A check in the amount of \$_____ is enclosed.
☐ Please charge Deposit Account No. 23-1925 in the amount of \$_____. A copy of this Transmittal is enclosed for this purpose.
☐ Payment by credit card in the amount of \$_____ (Form PTO-2038 is attached).
☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR § 1.16 and any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR § 1.17 associated with this paper (including any extension fee required to ensure that this paper is timely filed), or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 23-1925.

Respectfully submitted,



Amanda M. Miller (Reg. No. 52,469)

May 24, 2006
DateBRINKS
HOFER
GILSON
& LIONEBRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
NBC Tower - Suite 3600, 455 N. Cityfront Plaza Drive, Chicago, IL 60611-5599

"Express Mail" mailing label number EV314842287US

Date of Deposit: May 24, 2006

Our Case No. 659/793

K-C Ref. No. 16071

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Michael A. Schmidt et al.

Serial No. 10/027,467

Filing Date: December 20, 2001

For AUTO SHEET THREADING AND
CUTTING DEVICE AND METHOD

)
)
) Examiner Ghassem Alie

)
) Group Art Unit No. 3724

REPLY TO EXAMINER'S ANSWER

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Reply Brief is in response to the Examiner's Answer dated March 24, 2006.

Application Serial No. 10/027,467
Client/Matter No. 659-793

I. ARGUMENT

A. Claims 14-16, 20, and 22 are not obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103 over Sankaran (U.S. Patent No. 6,082,659) in view of Campbell (U.S. Patent No. 5,024,128).

Claims 14-16, 20 and 22 are not obvious in view of Sankaran and Campbell because neither reference, alone or in combination, discloses or suggests a sheet of material passing between a retracted position and an extended position and being diverted away from a processing apparatus by passing between nip rolls. Moreover, it would not have been obvious, in view of Campbell, to modify the high-speed aluminum strip coiler disclosed in Sankaran to include a pair of nip rolls. For these reasons, Appellants request that the Examiner's rejection be reversed.

Neither Sankaran nor Campbell teaches or suggests a sheet of material that is diverted away from a processing apparatus. Appellants' specification describes a "processing apparatus" as, for example, a roll winder, a slitting machine, an embosser, a heat or chemical treater, a folder, a laminator, a slitting machine. (p. 7, l. 12-14). The processing apparatus is not, for example, one portion of a larger machine. The fact that a reference may teach that a sheet may be diverted away from one portion of an apparatus to a different portion of that same apparatus does not satisfy the recitations of the instant claims.

The Examiner states that mandrel 2 of Sankaran is a "roll winder" as disclosed in Applicants specification. (Examiner's Answer, p. 7) Applicants disagree. Mandrel 2 is one portion of a coiler, functioning on the same frame 6 with many different pieces of the same apparatus. Alone, mandrel 2 cannot be called a roll winder. Alone mandrel 2 is just that, a mandrel. The apparatus effectuates the end product, not the individual pieces. Therefore, the Examiner's arguments with respect to Sankaran must fail.

B. Claims 17 and 18 are not obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Sankaran in view of Campbell, and further in view of Lotto et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,588,644).

As noted above with respect to claims 14-16, 20, and 22, Sankaran and Campbell, alone or in combination, do not disclose, teach or suggest the diversion of a sheet of

Application Serial No. 10/027,467
Client/Matter No. 659-793

material away from a processing apparatus. Lotto does not make up for the deficiencies of Sankaran and Campbell. The nip rolls 34a and 34b of Lotto are upstream of the processing apparatus, which is an overlapping machine and/or winder. The sheet of material that passes between the nip rolls is ultimately delivered to a winder that produces a roll of overlapped sheets (col. 1, l. 19-46). Thus, the nip rolls of Lotto actually direct the sheet toward the processing apparatus rather than diverting the sheet away from the processing apparatus. Therefore, it would not have been obvious to combine Lotto with Sankaran and Campbell.

Claims 17 and 18 recite that a sheet of material be broken by the stress applied to the sheet by the rotation of the nip rolls at a faster speed than the speed of the sheet passing between the nip rolls. Sankaran is directed to a method of winding aluminum or other metal. It would not have been obvious to one of skill in the art to try to break the aluminum sheet by applying tension from nip rolls moving at a speed faster than the sheet of metal passing between the nip rolls. In fact, Sankaran teaches a machine that moves a metal strip at speeds greater than 1,200 feet per minute, and preferably greater than 3,600 feet per minute. (col. 1, l. 43-47). One of skill in the art would not have been motivated to combine a machine which moves material at such speeds with a machine for the winding and cutting of paper or plastic. Because the combination of Sankaran, Campbell and Lotto does not teach or suggest each and every element of the claimed invention and because it would not have been obvious to combine Sankaran with Campbell and Lotto, Appellants request that the Examiner's rejection be reversed.

C. Claims 19 and 21 are not obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Sankaran, Campbell, and further in view of Dambroth (U.S. Patent No. 3,817,467).

As noted above with respect to claims 14-16, 20, and 22, Sankaran and Campbell, alone or in combination, do not disclose, teach or suggest the diversion of a sheet of material away from a processing apparatus. Dambroth does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed feature. Dambroth discloses a winding device for continuously moving webs of textile material onto a plurality of winding spools. As discussed above, it would not have been obvious to one of skill in the art to combine the features of a machine


Application Serial No. 10/027,467
Client/Matter No. 659-793

that winds a fibrous web with one that is designed to wind a metal sheet. Moreover, the tensioning mechanisms, such as air jets and nip rolls, cannot be fairly expected to work as effectively on a metal strip as they might on a web of paper or plastic. Therefore, it would not have been obvious to one of skill in the art to combine the references cited herein. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner's rejection be reversed.

II. CONCLUSION

The cited references, either alone or in combination with the Examiner's assertions, do not provide a valid basis for a prima facie obviousness rejection of the present claims. Accordingly, Appellants submit that the present invention is fully patentable over Sankaran, Campbell, Lotto, and Dambroth and the Examiner's rejections should be REVERSED.

Respectfully submitted,


Amanda M. Miller
Registration No. 52,469
Attorney for Applicant

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. BOX 10395
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610
(312) 321-4200

Serial No. 10/027,467
Applicant: MICHAEL A. SCHMIDT ET AL.
Client/Matter No.: 659793 (KC 16071)

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



Please acknowledge receipt of the below identified:

Items Mailed: Transmittal (in dup.); Reply to Examiner's Answer; and
Return Receipt Postcard.

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
By: Amanda M. Miller, Reg. No. 52,469
Date of Mailing: May 24, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence, totaling 16 pages including recited attachments, is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at facsimile no.: 571-273-0052 on the below date:

Date: May 15, 2007

Name: Amanda M. Miller

Signature: *Amanda Miller*

BRINKS
HOFFER
GILSON
& LIONE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Appln. of: Michael A. Schmidt et al.

Appln. No.: 10/027,467

Filed: December 20, 2001

For: AUTO SHEET THREADING AND
CUTTING DEVICE AND METHOD

Examiner: Ghassem Alie

Art Unit: 3724

Attorney Docket No: 659-793 (KC 16071)

Mail Stop Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL

Attached is/are:

- ☒ Request for Change of Docket Notice; Copies of Docketing Notice, Express Mail Receipt dated May 24, 2006, U.S. Postal Service Track & Confirm Search Results, Reply to Examiner's Answer, and Conformed Return Receipt Postcard
- ☐ Return Receipt Postcard.

Fee calculation:

- ☒ No additional fee is required.
- ☐ Small Entity.
- ☐ An extension fee in an amount of \$_____ for a _____-month extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).
- ☐ A petition or processing fee in an amount of \$_____ under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(_____).
- ☐ An additional filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

					Small Entity			Not a Small Entity	
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Add'l Fee	or	Rate	Add'l Fee
Total		Minus			x \$25=			x \$50=	
Indep.		Minus			x 100=			x \$200=	
First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim					+ \$180=			+ \$360=	
					Total	\$		Total	\$

Fee payment:

- ☐ A check in the amount of \$_____ is enclosed.
- ☐ Please charge Deposit Account No. 23-1925 in the amount of \$_____. A copy of this Transmittal is enclosed for this purpose.
- ☐ Payment by credit card in the amount of \$_____ (Form PTO-2038 is attached).
- ☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR § 1.16 and any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR § 1.17 associated with this paper (including any extension fee required to ensure that this paper is timely filed), or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 23-1925.

Respectfully submitted,

Amanda Miller
Amanda M. Miller (Reg. No. 52,469)

May 15, 2007
Date

BRINKS
HOFFER
GILSON
& LIONE

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
NBC Tower – Suite 3600, 455 N. Cityfront Plaza Drive, Chicago, IL 60611-5599

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence, totaling 16 pages including rected attachments, is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at facsimile no.: 571-273-0052 on the below date:

Date: May 15, 2007

Name: Amanda M. Miller

Signature: *Amanda Miller*
**BRINKS
HOFFER
GILSON
& LIONE**
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Appln. of: Michael A. Schmidt et al.

Appln. No.: 10/027,467

Filed: December 20, 2001

For: AUTO SHEET THREADING AND
CUTTING DEVICE AND METHOD

Examiner: Ghassem Alie

Art Unit: 3724

Attorney Docket No: 659-793 (KC 16071)

Mail Stop Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL
Attached is/are:

☒ Request for Change of Docket Notice; Copies of Docketing Notice, Express Mail Receipt dated May 24, 2006, U.S. Postal Service Track & Confirm Search Results, Reply to Examiner's Answer, and Conformed Return Receipt Postcard.

☐ Return Receipt Postcard.

Fee calculation:

☒ No additional fee is required.

☐ Small Entity.

☐ An extension fee in an amount of \$_____ for a _____-month extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

☐ A petition or processing fee in an amount of \$_____ under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(____).

☐ An additional filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

					Small Entity			Not a Small Entity	
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Add'l Fee	or	Rate	Add'l Fee
Total		Minus			x \$25=			x \$50=	
Indep.		Minus			x 100=			x \$200=	
First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim					+\$180=			+\$360=	
					Total	\$		Total	\$

Fee payment:

☐ A check in the amount of \$_____ is enclosed.

☐ Please charge Deposit Account No. 23-1925 in the amount of \$_____. A copy of this Transmittal is enclosed for this purpose.

☐ Payment by credit card in the amount of \$_____ (Form PTO-2038 is attached).

☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR § 1.16 and any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR § 1.17 associated with this paper (including any extension fee required to ensure that this paper is timely filed), or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 23-1925.

Respectfully submitted,

Amanda Miller
Amanda M. Miller (Reg. No. 52,469)

May 15, 2007

Date

**BRINKS
HOFFER**

BRINKS HOFFER GILSON & LIONE
NBC Tower - Suite 3600, 455 N. Cityfront Plaza Drive, Chicago, IL 60611-5599